THE SELECTION OF ALTERNATIVES TRAINING SHIP TO SUBSTITUTE KRI DEWARUCI FOR NAVAL ACADEMY CADETS USING THE ANALYTIC NETWORK PROCESS (ANP) METHOD

Sukmo Hadi Nugroho¹, Adi Bandono², Okol Sri Suharyo³, Raditya Novianto⁴

¹Esa Unggul University, Jakarta Indonesia ^{2,3,4} Indonesian Naval Technology College, STTAL, Surabaya Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Republic of Indonesia Ship (KRI) Dewaruci is a Naval Academy Cadets' (AAL) training ship that is old. This condition is very susceptible to various threats of accidents while carrying out voyages around the world to cruise the AAL Kartika Jala Krida Kadet. The government has planned to replace KRI Dewaruci with a new training ship. This study aims to determine the selection of new prospective makers training ship by the Analytic Network Process (ANP) method. This ANP method is used because the existing data have a relationship among the criteria and the relationship between criteria and sub-criteria. In alternative selection, there are two main criteria, namely operational requirements criteria with four sub-criteria: security, geographical conditions, skills training, transfer of technology and technical requirement criteria with five sub-criteria: machinery, navigation, training equipment, platform, masts, and sails. The results of this study are the alternative priorities for new training ship replacing the best KRI Dewaruci and also the priority of the main/critical sub-criteria. The biggest alternative score value is a training ship made by Piere Shipyard made in Spain with a score of 0.50259.

Keywords: Analytic Network Process (ANP), Super Decisions, Training ship, Criteria and Sub-criteria

1. INTRODUCTION

KRI Dewaruci is a training ship for the Naval Academy Cadets to form strong prospective Navy officers. Having the spirit of the Pancasila Warriors and Sapta Marga, possessing the knowledge and professional skills of the military in the spectrum of initial assignments at KRI / Troops, and having leadership as a prospective Indonesian National Armed Forces (TNI) / Navy leader in the future. KRI Dewaruci measuring 58.5 meters and 9.5 meters wide from this Barquentine class was built in H.C. Stulchen & Sohn Hamburg, West Germany. The KRI was launched on January 24, 1953. The age of the old KRI Dewaruci was very vulnerable to various threats of accidents while carrying out the voyage. The government with this consideration is planning to replace KRI Dewaruci as AAL cadets with a new training ship.

The selection of truly appropriate sailing boat alternatives requires analysis of information and identification of important requirements regarding data from alternative training ship. Which broadly covers operational requirements and technical requirements of training ship in the selection of procurement of replacement KRI Dewaruci.

By paying attention to the conditions and reality in the field, a major problem can be formulated in the discussion of this paper are how to determine the alternative training ship to procure a new training ship replacement for KRI Dewaruci and how to determine the main/critical criteria for training ship selection.

The purposes of this study are Determine the priority of new training ship alternatives for AAL Cadets that are truly appropriate according to operational requirements and technical requirements by using the Analytical Network Process (ANP) method and Knowing the main sub criteria on the criteria of operational requirements and technical requirements.

2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

2.1. Multi-Criteria Decision Making (MCDM)

Mangkusubroto and Trisnadi (1983) stated that in this life, humans are always faced with various problems and issues. One problem that is certain and experienced by humans is how to make an appropriate decision on various choices (alternatives) and criteria (attributes) that exist.[1] Ciptomulyono (2010) gives an understanding of MCDM is an alternative process selection method to obtain optimal solutions from several alternatives. Problems with many criteria may be defined as a situation where a criterion is a consideration for choosing an alternative that is used to:

- Determine the best alternative or a set of the best alternatives (choice problems).
- Ranking alternatives from the best to the worst (ranking issues).
- Divide alternative sets into alternative subset based on several rules (sorting problems).[2]

2.2. Analytic Network Process (ANP)

Saaty (2001) explains the Analytic Network Process (ANP) is a method that produces a framework to overcome decision-making problems without involving assumptions related. It's independence between higher level elements with weak and independent elements at one level.[3] The pair comparison process uses a scale that reflects the importance of a decision element with other decision elements at the same hierarchy

level. In the following Table 2.1, the following shows

the scale of pair comparisons.

Table 1. Pair Comparison Scale

Interest level	Definition
1	Both elements are equally important
3	One element is slightly more important than the other elements
5	One element is actually more important than the other elements
7	One element is clearly more important than the other elements
9	One absolute element is more important than other elements
2, 4, 6, 8	Middle values between 2 adjoining assessments

The advantage of ANP compared to AHP is that ANP frees the need to compile components in the form of straight chains as in the hierarchy. And by entering dependencies, feedback, and cycles of influence on the supermatrix, ANP is more objective and more likely to capture what is happening in the real world. Overall ANP is a better decision-making tool than AHP, but ANP requires more work to capture facts and interactions.

This feedback structure does not have a straight top to bottom shape like in a hierarchy but rather resembles a network with a cycle that connects the components inside the component itself. An example of a feedback network structure can be seen in Figure 1.



Fig.1 Feedback Network Structure

2.3. Operational Requirement

Based on the Chief Operations Letter Number: R / 458 / VII / 2012 dated July 11, 2012, concerning the determination of the Operational Requirement of training ship intended as a quideline the preparation of technical for specifications to procure training sailing ship to further policy complete decisions. Some considerations operational in determining requirements include security, training, skills, transfer of technology, geographic conditions.[4]

2.4. Technical Requirement

Based on the Chief Logistics Staff Letter Number: R / 555 / VII / 2012 dated July 3, 2012, concerning the determination of the Technical Requirements to be explained as technical specifications that have been reviewed. It by the TNI Headquarters Planning and Logistics Staff, among others: Navigation, Training Equipment, Platforms, Machinery, Mast and Sail.[4]

2.5. Research Flow Chart



Fig.2 Research Flowchart

2.6. Determination of Criteria

The criteria used for the selection of training ship were obtained based on the Warrant Number Sprin / 840 / VII / 2010 dated July 14, 2010, regarding the establishment of the Spectrum Evaluation Team for the procurement of replacement KRI Dewaruci and the Training ship Procurement Study from Mabesal.[5]

Table 2. (Criteria
------------	----------

NO	CRITERIA	DEFINITION/ ASSESSMENT PARAMETERS
1	Operational Requirements	Operational requirements related to the strategic value of training ship as navigation and nautical training tool for AAL Cadets.
2	Technical Requirements	Technical requirements relating to the design and technical specifications of training ships.

NO	SUB CRITERIA	DEFINITION / ASSESSMENT PARAMETERS	
1	Security	This training ship is used for AAL Cadet training facilities as a future TNI leader so that it takes a very high safety and security factor for the ship. It to minimize the accident factor while carrying out the voyage.	
2	Skills Training	As a means of AAL Cadet training in the field of navigation and nautical as well as other additional functions such as diplomacy functions, tourism ambassadors, limited patrols, and limited maritime intelligence functions, a training ship is needed to provide all supporting equipment.	
3	Transfer of Technology (TOT)	The construction of training ship must consider the Transfer of Technology process so that in the future the Indonesian nation will be able to build and maintain training ship independently.	
4	Geographical Conditions	Can operate across all Indonesian waters, oceans in the world, and be able to stop at all ports in all countries in the world and be able to sail on sea conditions more than sea state 7 (Beaufort scale).	

Table 3. Sub criteria on the Operational Requirements Criteria

Table 4. Sub criteria on Criteria Technical Requirements

ſ	NO	SUB CRITERIA	DEFINITION / ASSESSMENT PARAMETERS
	1	Navigation	A navigation system that provides security assurance with high accuracy navigation, both flat navigation, astronomical navigation and electronic navigation.
	2	Training Equipment	Has a complete marine equipment workshop that serves as a training tool for AAL Cadets that can be used as a means of repairing sails and other marine equipment on board.
	3	Platform	Able to operate on sea conditions (Beaufort scale), have nautical lifeboats, special rooms/classrooms, training platforms that are separate from the main platform. AAL Cadet accommodation facilities that are separate from ship crew (sleeping room, dining room, recreation room, room shower), a lounge accommodation and multipurpose deck.
	4	Machinery	Having high maneuverability both when using engine propulsion, sails or a combination of both, Able to sail continuously at sea for 30 days with a combination of engine and sail boosters.
	5	Masts and Sails	Has 3 high masts by the design.Has sailed with international competition standards that are capable of moving the ship at a speed of 15 knots in wind blowing conditions on the 7 scale sea state of Beaufort.

2.7. Alternative Determination

Based on the Auction Announcement Letter Number B / 24 / VIII / 2012 / PAN dated August 18, 2012, there are 5 shipyard companies from 3 countries registering themselves to bid. But after the factual verification of the shipyard company by the Procurement Committee Team, currently, there are only three shipyard companies from two countries.

Table 5.	Alternative	Prospective	Training	Ship Provider	s

NO	SHIPYARD	COUNTRY
1	Piere Shipyard/ PT. Sinar Kokoh Persada	Spain
2	Bumar Shipyard/ PT. Puramas Militerrindo	Poland
3	Astileros Gondan Shipyard/ PT. Citra Persada	Spain

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1. ANP Network Modeling

After determining the existing criteria and prospective provider alternatives, it is then formed

into an ANP network model as shown in Figure 3 below:



Fig.3 ANP Network Model Using Super Decisions Software Innerdependence

Table 6. Innerdependence	e Relations in the Cluster	Operational Requirement
--------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------

NO	INNERDEPENDENCE	DEFINITION / ASSESSMENT PARAMETERS
1	TOT – Security	With good TOT, domestic development can be achieved so it
		can match the safety factor of products from abroad.

NO	INNERDEPENDENCE	DEFINITION / ASSESSMENT PARAMETERS
2	TOT – Geographical Conditions	With the existence of TOT which is well sought at domestically, it can develop products that can be adapted to international geographical conditions.
3	TOT – Skills Training	TOT personnel is sought to provide their own skills training in the future.
4	Security – Geographical Conditions	Geographical conditions vary in international waters so that maximum safety factors are needed.
5	Security – Skills Training	Good skills training for Indonesian Navy personnel will increase professionalism in safeguarding security factors.
6	Geographical Conditions – Skills Training	Navy personnel, who carry out good skills training will be able to pass through any obstacles in geographical conditions.

Table 7. Innerdependence Relationship on Cluster Technical Requirements

NO	INNERDEPENDENCE	DEFINITION/ ASSESSMENT PARAMETERS
1	Machinery – Platform	Machinery requires the design and specification of space in its placement.
2 Machinery – Navigation		Existing navigation equipment influences the type and ability of an auxiliary machine that produces electricity on the ship.
3 Machinery – Masts & Sails		Machinery and Sails can work together or individually at work.
4	Machinery – Training Equipment	Available training equipment must be supported by reliable Machinery.
5	Platform – Navigation	Navigation equipment requires the design and specification of space in its placement.
6	Platform – Masts & Sails	Masts and Sails require the design and specification of space in its placement.
7	Platform – Training Equipment	Existing training equipment requires the design and specification of space in its placement.
8	Navigation – Mast & Sails	Navigation equipment will support the operation of the sails.
9	Navigation Training Equipment	Good training equipment also supports the provision of accurate data in navigation.
10	Mast & Sails – Training Equipment	Existing training equipment can assist in the operation of the sails.

3.1.1 Outerdependence

In addition to the Innerdependence relationship that occurs in each cluster. There is

also an Outerdependence relationship between the sub-criteria among the clusters.

NO	SUB CRITERIA	OUTER DEPENDENCE	DEFINITION/ASSESSMENT PARAMETERS
		- Security	TOT affects the safety of products made domestically.
		- Geographical	In the TOT process must reckon the geographical
		Conditions	conditions of Indonesian waters.
1	тот	- Skills Training	TOT must carry out the entire process of skills training for each ship crew.
		- Platform	Maximum use of own country expertise in the platform
		- Training Equipment	Maximum utilization of the country's experts in the platform.

 Table 8. Outerdependence relationship between Inter-Cluster Criteria

NO	SUB CRITERIA	OUTER DEPENDENCE	DEFINITION/ASSESSMENT PARAMETERS
		- Geographical	Shipping security must cover all geographical conditions of
		Conditions	the world's waters.
2	Security	- Skills Training	In the training, the process must pay attention to security factors.
		- Platform	The use of good ship materials to support safety factors.
		- Training Equipment	Training equipment must be safe for use by ship personnel.
3	Geographical	- Platform	The platform must be strong by the conditions of the geographical waters of the world.
5	Conditions	- Navigation	Navigation equipment must be usable under any geographical conditions.
		- Machinery	Skills training must be thoroughly Machinery and ship's engines
		- Navigasi	Personnel must master navigation in the training process.
4	Skills Training	- Masts & Sails	The skill of opening and closing the sails perfectly during training.
		- Training Equipment	Training skills for all sailing equipment.
		- Geographical	Procurement of good Machinery to support the shipping
		Conditions	process of changing geographical conditions.
5	Machinery	- Skills Training	The Machinery is easily operated by ship personnel during skills training.
5	Machinery	- Platform	The dimensions of the engine must be by the situation of the ship body.
		- Navigation	Navigation equipment must be supported by electricity by the engine and diesel generator.
		- Machinery	Placement of the Machinery must match the space available on the ship.
		- Navigation	The placement of navigation equipment must be by the ship's body.
		- Masts & Sails	Masts and sails must balance with the ship.
		- Training	Supporting equipment must be adapted to the available
6	Platform	Equipment	training ship body spaces.
		- Geographical	With varying and different geographical conditions, a strong
		Conditions	training ship body must be supported.
		- Security	I ne security of shipping ships must be supported by a
			The use of the sails must be supported by qualified
		- Masts & Sails	navigation.
-		- Training	Supporting training equipment does not interfere with ship
7	Navigation	Equipment	navigation equipment.

3.1.2 Data Collection with Questionnaires

Questionnaire making uses a reference network model that has been formed. In answering questions in this questionnaire, respondents do not need to do discrete scoring with numbers but only do intuitive through linguistic variables. However, about filling in this questionnaire, the researchers attached comparative data from the prospective suppliers of goods/services.

3.1.3 Data processing

The next stage after obtaining data is data processing activities. About the method used in this study, the ANP method was used and the data processing was carried out through the help of Super Decisions software. The processed data is questionnaire data which is the respondents' perceptions regarding the selection of training ship.

Criteria			Geomean	Matrix		
Operational requirement	VS	Technical requirement	1,44	1,44		
Operational requirement	Geomean	Matrik				
Security	VS	Skills Training	4,14	4,14		
Security	VS	Transfer of Technology	2,79	2,79		
Security	vs	Geographical Conditions	2,00	2,00		

Transfer of Technology Geographical

Conditions

Geographical

Conditions

vs

vs

vs

Skills Training

Skills Training

Transfer of Technology

0,47

1,59

2,74

2,15

1,59

2,74

Table 9. Geometric Average Recapitations of Pairwise Comparison Value

Technical requirement su	Technical requirement sub-criteria			Matrix
Navigation	VS	Training Equipment	3,91	3,91
Navigation	VS	Platform	2,29	2,29
Navigation	VS	Machinery	4,10	4,10
Navigation	VS	Masts and Sails	3,00	3,00
Training Equipment	VS	Platform	0,35	2,87
Training Equipment	VS	Machinery	0,50	2,00
Training Equipment	vs	Mast and Sails	0,35	2,87
Platform	VS	Machinery	5,00	5,00
Platform	VS	Masts and Sails	3,97	3,97
Machinery	VS	Masts and Sails	3,30	3,30

Sub-criteria TOT	Geomean	Matrix		
Security	vs	Geographical Conditions	3,89	3,89
Security	VS	Skills Training	2,29	2,29
Geographical Conditions	VS	Skills Training	0,47	2,12
Sub-criteria TOT	Geomean	Matrix		
Platform	VS	Training Equipment	3,96	3,96

Sub-criteria Security	Geomean	Matrix		
Geographical Conditions	VS	Skills Training	3,68	3,68

Sub-criteria Security	Geomean	Matrix		
Platform	VS	Training Equipment	4,25	4,25

Sub-criteria Geographica	Geomean	Matrix		
Astileros Gondan (Spain)	VS	Navigation	3,68	3,68
(Opull)	10	Inavigation		

Sub-criteria Skills Trainin	Geomean	Matrix		
Machinery	VS	Navigation	0,22	4,50
Machinery	VS	Masts and Sails	0,48	2,09
Machinery	VS	Training Equipment	0,32	3,10
Navigasi	VS	Masts and Sails	2,74	2,74
Navigasi	VS	Training Equipment	1,71	1,71
Masts and Sails	VS	Training Equipment	0,25	4,00

Sub-criteria Machinery	Geomean	Matrix		
Platform	VS	Navigation	0,35	2,83

Sub-criteria Machinery	Geomean	Matrix		
Geographical Conditions	VS	Skills Training	3,85	3,85

Subcriteria Platform	Geomean	Matrix		
Machinery	VS	Navigation	0,30	3,30
Machinery	VS	Masts and Sails	0,48	2,09
Machinery	VS	Training Equipment	0,50	2,00
Navigation	VS	Masts and Sails	3,94	3,94
Navigation	VS	Training Equipment	4,72	4,72
Masts and Sails	VS	Training Equipment	0,27	3,75

Sub-criteria Platform	Geomean	Matrix		
Security	vs	Geographical Conditions	4,39	4,39

Sub-criteria Navigation			Geomean	Matrix
Masts and Sails	VS	Training Equipment	0,24	4,25

INTER ALTERNATIVE COMPARISON

Sub-criteria Security			Geomean	Matrix
Astileros Gondan (VS	Bumar Shipyard (Poland)	1,00	1,00
Spain)	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	1,17	1,17
Bumar Shipyard (Poland)	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	0,56	1,79

Sub-criteria Skills Trainin	Geomean	Matrix		
		Bumar Shipyard	0.50	2,01
Astileros Gondan (Spain)	VS	(Poland)	0,00	
	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	0,21	4,76
Bumar Shipyard (Poland)	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	0,32	3,13

Sub-criteria TOT	Geomean	Matrix		
		Bumar Shipyard	1.08	1,08
Astileros Gondan (Spain)	VS	(Poland)	1,00	
	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	1,17	1,17
Bumar Shipyard (Poland)	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	0,54	1,84

Sub-criteria Geographica	Geomean	Matrix		
Astileros Gondan (vs	Bumar Shipyard (Poland)	1,26	1,26
Spain	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	1,71	1,71
Bumar Shipyard (Poland)	ל) vs Piere Shipyard (Spain)		0,39	2,57

Sub-criteria Machinery	Geomean	Matrix		
		Bumar Shipyard	1 /0	1,49
Astileros Gondan (Spain)	VS	(Poland)	1,43	
	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	1,26	1,26
Bumar Shipyard (Poland)	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	1,47	1,47

Sub-criteria Navigation	Sub-criteria Navigation				
		Bumar Shipyard	0.31	3,19	
Astileros Gondan (Spain)	VS	(Poland)	0,31		
	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	0,35	2,86	
Bumar Shipyard (Poland)	1,96	1,96			
Sub-criteria Mast and sails			Geomean	Matrix	
		Bumar Shipyard	2 32	2 3 2	
Astileros Gondan (Spain)	VS	(Poland)	2,02	2,02	
	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	0,39	2,59	
Bumar Shipyard (Poland)	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	0,35	2,83	

Sub-criteria Platform	Geomean	Matrix		
		Bumar Shipyard	1.38	1,38
Astileros Gondan (Spain)	VS	(Poland)	1,00	
	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	1,36	1,36
Bumar Shipyard (Poland)	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	1,59	1,59

Sub-criteria Training Equ	Geomean	Matrix		
Astileros Gondan (Spain)	vs	Bumar Shipyard (Poland)	1,61	1,61
	VS	Piere Shipyard Spain	1,26	1,26
Bumar Shipyard (Poland)	VS	Piere Shipyard (Spain)	1,71	1,71

Geometric averages that have been calculated are then entered into pairwise comparison matrices in software super decisions.

File Computation	n Misc Help			
Graphic Verbal	Matrix Questione	are		
Comparisons w Security is 1.	the sailing vasael 13 times more a	ode in "OPSRE	Of cluster regraphic Canditans	
Inconsistency	Geographic Conditions	Salis Transrg	101	
Security	1.82	19	1.88	
Geographic Currillians		28	2.17	
BARRY Transmis			2.05	

Fig.4 Pair Comparison Matrix

After obtaining a pairwise comparison value for each relationship a local priority score calculation is performed. Every time a local priority scoring should not exceed the value of 0.1. For example, it can be seen in Figure 4.5 which shows the value of inconsistencies from paired comparisons between sub-criteria in the Operational Requirement criteria.



Fig.5 Inconsistency Index Among Sub Criteria

3.2 Processing with Super Decisions Software

After entering all geometric mean into the matrix format in the Super Decisions software, the software performs all stages of the ANP method by running Priorities. Which contains an alternative score and all sub-criteria score as shown in Fig 6.

	Here are t	he priorities	
Icon	Name	Normalized by Clust	er Limiting
No Icon	Astileros Ship yard	0.23258	0.095762
No Icon	Bumar Ship yard	0.26484	0.109045
No Icon	Fiere Ship yord	0.50259	0.206936
No Icon	Sailing Vessel	0.00000	0.000000
No Icon	Security	0.06481	0.017463
No Icon	Geograpical Condition	0.14150	0.038128
No Icon	Skills Training	0.62123	0.167396
No Icon	707	0.17247	0.046474
No Icon	Machine	0.17069	0.054415
No Icon	Novigation	0.22706	0.072387
No Icon	Training Equipment	0.34303	0.109355
No Icon	Flatform	0.10478	0.033402
No Icon	Masts & Sails	0.15445	0.049237



Furthermore, the final results in the form of rankings from sub-criteria in alternative groups can be seen by way of Synthesise in software super decisions as shown in Figure 7.



Fig.7 Final Results of Selection of Training Ship

3.3 Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity analysis was performed using the Super Decisions software by changing the scoring criteria for the tested alternatives. In this test, it can be seen that by changing the score of the criteria on the tested alternatives, it affects the results of the initial ranking or not. Whenever there is a point where there is a ranking/priority change, the point is called the critical point of an alternative.



3.4 Analysis of Training Ship Priority Alternative Ranking



Fig.9 Alternative Priority Charts

Figure 9 shows that Piere Shipyard (Spain) is the shipyard with the highest score, followed by Bumar Shepard (Poland), and Astileros Shipyard (Spain). Thus Piere Shipyard (Spain) is a prospective provider of Substitute KRI Dewaruci getting the highest priority for Operational Requirements and Technical Requirements.

3.5 Analysis of Operational Requirements and Technical Requirements Criteria

On the alternative criteria for selecting a training ship, two criteria have been determined, namely the Operational Requirement and Technical Requirement. From the Super Decisions software in Figure 5.2 below, it can be seen that the Operational requirement criteria have a higher score, which is 0.630996 compared to the Technical

requirement criteria with a score of 0.369004. This illustrates that the Operational Requirement criteria are more influential than the Technical Requirement criteria.



Fig.10 Value of Operational Requirements and Technical Requirements

The reason for the Operational Requirements criteria is more influential because in planning to procure a ship, the Operational Requirements criteria become a guideline and reference in making a Technical Requirement. If The Operational Requirements are made well and are made by competent experts in their field. The Technical Requirements provided will be by the Operational Requirements planning to produce a good ship.

3.6 Sub-criteria Analysis in Operational Requirement Criteria

The Operational requirements criteria are 4 sub-criteria. namely security. geographical conditions. skills training, and transfer of technology. The Skill Training sub-criteria have the highest score, which is 0.62123 compared to other sub-criteria. This illustrates that the Training Skills subcategory is the most influential compared to other sub-criteria.

3.7 Sub-criteria Analysis in Technical Requirement Criteria

In table 5.1, the criteria for the Technical Requirement are 5 sub-criteria, namely machine, navigation, training equipment, platform, masts, and sails. The Training Equipment sub-criteria have the highest score of 0.343030 compared to other subcriteria. This illustrates that the Training Tools subcategory is the most influential compared to other sub-criteria.

			ALTERNATIVE				
CRITERIA	SUB CRITERIA	PIERE SHIPYARD	BUMAR SHIPYARD	ASTILEROS SHIPYARD			
		(SPAIN)	(POLAND)	(SPAIN)			
	Security	0,419196	0,269859	0,310945			
Operational	Geograpical condition	0.509145	0.210102	0.280752			
Requirement	Skills Training	0.648483	0.227376	0.124141			
	TOT	0.421921	0.259915	0.318164			
	Machinery	0.403540	0.330098	0.266362			
Technical	Navigation	0.328285	0.533197	0.138518			
Requirement	Training Equipment	0.417958	0.230889	0.351153			
	Platform	0.421558	0.250862	0.327579			
	Masts & Sails	0.561813	0.157053	0.281134			

Table 10. Ranking of Alternative Subcriteria

3.8 Analysis of Alternative Sensitivity Tests

The results of calculations that have been done illustrate an ideal situation. To anticipate changes the estimates that have been made before, a sensitivity analysis of these estimates is carried out. Sensitivity analysis is carried out to determine the extent of priority stability of the alternatives. The sensitivity test carried out can be said that the results of the selection of alternative training ship are not sensitive to changes in time and environment.

INPUT VALUE	MATRIX: SKILLS TRAINING PIERE SHIPYARD (SPAIN)	ASTILEROS SHIPYARD (SPAIN)	BUMAR SHIPYARD (POLAND)	PIERE SHIPYARD (SPAIN)
0	1,00	31,05	40,76	28,20
0,2	20,60	28,66	36,37	34,97
0,4	40,20	26,27	31,99	41,74
0,6	59,80	23,87	27,61	48,51
0,8	79,40	21,48	23,23	55,29
1	99,00	19,09	18,85	62,06

 Table 11. Sensitivity Test of Input Changes Value Score Skills Sub Criteria Training

Table 12. Alternative Sensitivity Test

	MINIMAL	VALUE OF	MAXIMUM	CHANGE OF
ALIERNATIVE	VALUE	CHANGE	VALUE	PRIORITY
Security	0,00 %	Infinity	100,00 %	Piere Shipyard
Geographical Condition	0,00 %	Infinity	100,00 %	Piere Shipyard
Skills Training	0,00 %	22,90 %	100,00 %	Bumar Shipyard
Transfer of Technology	0,00 %	Infinity	100,00 %	Piere Shipyard
Machinery	0,00 %	Infinity	100,00 %	Piere Shipyard
Navigation	0,00 %	Infinity	100,00 %	Piere Shipyard
Training Equipment	0,00 %	Infinity	100,00 %	Piere Shipyard
Platform	0,00 %	Infinity	100,00 %	Piere Shipyard
Masts and Sails	0,00 %	Infinity	100,00 %	Piere Shipyard

For the scoring of the Skill Training subcriteria, an alternative priority change occurs when the criteria score is lowered to 22.90%. The changes that occur are the alternative Bumar Shipyard to be ranked first. While for the other subcriteria, the increase interval is infinity. Which means that the score can be increased to a maximum of score that is up to 100% and also scores can be reduced to a minimum score of 0% without changing the priority ranking.





Fig.11 Alternative Priority Changes

The sensitivity test results can be explained that the left image is a picture before the score changes in the sub-criteria value of the Training Equipment are carried out. While the right picture is after the score changes are sub-criteria for training equipment. Sensitivity tests are carried out by increasing the score of 0.2 to 1 in the training equipment sub-criteria which is the main subcategory of the operational requirements criteria.

4. CONCLUSION

The conclusions that can be taken in this study are:

a. From the results of data collection and processing, as well as the problem analysis of the selection of selected alternative training ship that get the highest priority score, namely Piere Shipyard (Spain) with a priority score of 0.502586. Furthermore, in an alternative priority sequence in training ship selection is Bumar Shipyard (Poland) with a priority score of 0.264838 and Astileros Shipyard (Spain) with a priority score of 0.232676.

b. In the Opsreq criterion, the Skills Training has the highest score, which is 0.62123 compared to other sub-criteria. This illustrates that the skills criteria sub-criteria are critical sub-criteria in operational requirements criteria.

c. The Techreq criteria for the Training Equipment sub-criteria have the highest score of 0.343030 compared to other sub-criteria. This illustrates that the subcategory of Training Equipment is a critical sub-criteria in the technical requirement criteria.

The following are suggestions for the Indonesian Navy and further research:

a. Suggestions for the Indonesian Navy, especially decision makers, are to pay more attention to the important and appropriate criteria in the procurement of a type of ship and to use the ANP method to solve complex preference problems.

b. For the next researchers to be able to combine software super decisions with a Decisions Support System based on management information systems in work units within the Indonesian Navy in solving complex problems.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research has been supported by Indonesia Naval Technology College (STTAL), Indonesian Navy and Ministry of Defense of the Republic of Indonesia.

6. **REFERENCES**

- Mangkusubroto dan Trisnadi. 1983. Decision Analysis: Systems Approach in Business and Project Management. Ganeca Exact. Bandung.
- Ciptomulyono, U. 2010. The Multi-Criteria Decision Making Paradigm in the Perspective of Environmental and Project Development in Industry. Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology. Surabaya.
- Saaty, T. L. 2001. Decision Making With Dependence and Feed Back: The Analytic Network Process. RWS Publication. Pittsburgh.
- Mabesal. 2012. Determination of Operational and Technical Requirements for Procurement of Training Ship. Mabesal. Jakarta.
- Mabesal. 2010. Establishment of Evaluation Team for Technical Specifications for the Procurement of Training Ship. Mabesal. Jakarta.